RECEIVED

THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

		CLERK'S OFFICE
IN THE MATTER OF:)	AUG 2 8 2012
GASOLINE VOLATILITY STANDARDS AND MOTOR VEHICLE REFINISHING:)	STATE OF ILLINOIS R12Pollytion Control Board
PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO ILL. ADM. CODE 211, 215, 218)	(Rulemaking-Air)
AND 219.)	

TRANSCRIPT FROM THE PROCEEDINGS
taken before HEARING OFFICER KATHLEEN CROWLEY,
by LORI ANN ASAUSKAS, CSR, RPR, a notary public
within and for the County of Cook and State
of Illinois, at the Illinois Pollution Control
Board, 1021 North Grand Avenue East (North
Entrance), Springfield, Illinois, on the 23rd
day of August, 2012, A.D., at 1:00 o'clock
a.m.

```
Page 2
     APPEARANCES:
 2
     ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD,
     1021 North Grand Avenue East
 3
     North Entrance
     Springfield, Illinois
                             60601
     (217) 524-8509
     webbc@ipcb.state.il.us
 5
     BY: MS. KATHLEEN CROWLEY, HEARING OFFICER,
 6
 7
     ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,
     1021 North Grand Avenue East
 8
     P.O. Box 19276
     Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276
     (217) 782-5544
     kent.mohr@illinois.gov
          MR. KENT E. MOHR, JR.,
10
11
                  Appeared on behalf of the Illinois
12
                  Environmental Protection Agency.
13
14
     ALSO PRESENT:
15
     Ms. Erin Conley, DCEO
16
     Ms. Brooke Doggett, DCEO
     Ms. Annette Fulgenzi, DCEO
17
     Mr. Michael D. Rogers, IEPA
     Ms. Jackie Sims, IEPA
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
Page 3
 1
                          INDEX
 2
                                                 PAGES
 3
     Opening Remarks by the Hearing Officer..... 4 -
     Preliminary Matters Discussed..... 5 -
     Rogers Testimony Presented by Mr. Mohl..... 6 -
    Clarification by the Hearing Officer..... 7 - 10
 5
     Questions of Mr. Rogers and Ms. Fulgenzi....10 - 12
 6
     Closing Remarks by the Hearing Officer.....12 - 13
 7
 8
 9
                       EXHIBITS
                                           Marked for
10
                                         Identification
11
     IEPA Exhibit No. 1.........
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

L.A. COURT REPORTERS LLC - (312) 419-9292

1

```
2 HEARING OFFICER CROWLEY: Good
```

- 3 afternoon. My name is Cathleen Crowley and
- 4 I'm the assigned hearing officer in this
- 5 proceeding.
- This is the first of two
- 7 presently scheduled hearings being conducted
- by the Illinois Pollution Control Board In
- 9 the Matter Of: Gasoline Volatility Standards
- and Motor Vehicle Refinishing; Proposed Amendments
- to 35 Ill. Adm. Code Parts 211, 215, 218 and 219,
- which is our Docket 12-24.
- 13 At today's hearing, the Board
- will begin receipt of testimony on the merits
- and economic effects of the Illinois Environmental
- Protection Agency's April 2, 2012, regulatory
- 17 proposal.
- In brief, the proposal would
- 19 repeal superseded gasoline volatility standards
- adopted by the state and also provide some
- limited regulatory relief for certain automobile
- refinishers.
- We've determined before we
- started that all persons present here are either

- 1 representing or associated with the Illinois
- 2 Environmental Protection Agency or the
- 3 Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity.
- 4 So we will not be going into some of our usual
- 5 opening remarks.
- 6 Seated to my immediate right
- is Board Member Carrie Zalewski, who is the
- 8 Board member coordinating this rulemaking.
- 9 Seated to her immediate right is Board member
- Deanna Glosser. Seated to my left is Board
- member Jennifer Burke. To her left is Chairman
- 12 Thomas Holbrook.
- Do any of the Board members
- want to say anything?
- Okay. At this point I will
- ask for entering of appearances by the Agency
- and anybody else who cares to do so.
- MR. MOHR: Good afternoon. My name
- is Kent Mohr. I'm an attorney for the Illinois
- 20 EPA.
- Just as a short introduction,
- the Illinois EPA filed this rulemaking proposal
- to repeal the state gasoline volatility standards
- 24 at 35 Ill. Adm. Code Parts 215, 218 and 219.585

```
due to the applicability of the federal gasoline
```

- volatility standards and the federal reformulated
- 3 gasoline program.
- In addition, the Illinois EPA
- 5 filed the rulemaking in order to allow for the
- 6 use of an equivalent high volume low pressure or
- 7 HVLP coding applicator that's used in motor
- 8 vehicle refinishing operations and to repeal the
- 9 registration program associated with those motor
- vehicle refinishing operations due to the analogous
- 11 national emission standards for hazardous air
- 12 pollutant registration requirements that are
- associated with motor vehicle refinishing, those
- being located at 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart 6(h).
- I have with me two witnesses.
- 16 Seated next to me is Michael Rogers with the
- technical services section of the Division of
- 18 Mobile Source Programs within the Bureau of Air
- 19 at the Illinois EPA and Annette Fulgenzi who is
- the project manager of the Illinois Small Business
- 21 Environmental Assistance Program within the
- Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity
- to answer any questions that may be asked of
- them today.

```
We have pre-filed testimony in
```

- this matter entitled "Testimony of Michael D.
- Rogers." We have not pre-filed testimony for
- 4 Ms. Fulgenzi, but she is here today to assist
- in answering any questions as necessary.
- 6 At this time I would like
- 7 to move that Mr. Rogers' pre-filed testimony
- be entered into the record as an exhibit.
- 9 (Document marked as
- 10 IEPA Exhibit No. 1
- for identification,
- 12 8/23/12.)
- HEARING OFFICER CROWLEY: Thank you.
- We will enter that as Exhibit No. 1.
- MR. MOHR: I would like to now have
- Mr. Rogers and Ms. Fulgenzi sworn in so that they
- can answer any questions that may be asked.
- HEARING OFFICER CROWLEY: Please do
- 19 so.
- 20 (Witnesses sworn.)
- MR. MOHR: That's all I have.
- HEARING OFFICER CROWLEY: Let me put
- 23 a couple of things into the record and then we
- 24 will -- I doubt we will by asking questions, but

- as I mentioned earlier, the Agency filed this
- 2 proposal on April 2nd.
- To expedite completion of the
- 4 rule, on April 19th, the Board adopted a first
- 5 notice opinion and order without commenting on
- 6 the merits of the proposal.
- 7 The first notice of the proposed
- 8 rule appeared on May 19th in the Illinois Register,
- 9 Volume 36 at Page 6913. The 45-day first notice
- 10 comment period required by the Administrative
- 11 Procedure Act expired on July 3rd. No public
- 12 comments have been filed.
- But the Joint Committee on
- 14 Administrative Rules requested an analysis of
- economic and budgetary effects of the rulemaking
- on May 16th and this, of course, is not uncommon
- in Board rulemaking proceedings.
- On June 27, 2012, Chairman
- 19 Thomas Holbrook submitted a written request to
- the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity,
- 21 known as DCEO, that it perform an economic impact
- study as provided for in Section 27 of the
- 23 Environmental Protection Act.
- The Board requested that DCEO

- 1 provide any response to the request on or before
- 2 August 1, 2012. On August 2, DCEO's director,
- 3 David Vaught, replied in pertinent part that at
- 4 this time the department is unable to undertake
- such an economic impact study. Therefore, it must
- 6 respectfully decline his request.
- 7 The August 2, DCEO response has
- been available on the Board's website since that
- 9 date giving the public the required 21-day notice
- of the decision. Section 27(b) requires the Board
- to hold a hearing on the economic impact of the
- rules, any EcIS submitted, and/or any explanation
- for not conducting an EcIS study.
- 14 Section 27(b) allows the Board
- to dovetail the economic impact hearing with any
- other hearing and this is one of those dovetailed
- hearings, for the record.
- Before we begin, I asked
- Mr. Mohr if he would like to have Mr. Rogers
- provide a summary of his testimony in support
- of the proposal and the decision was made not
- to, but just to introduce the testimony as read,
- which the Board has had for sometime and
- I'm sure the other folks in the room have had

- 1 as well.
- 2 Are there any questions for
- 3 Mr. Rogers? Okay. I guess not.
- 4 The next thing I would raise
- 5 then does anyone have any comments on the DCEO
- 6 response to the study request? There are no
- 7 such comments.
- If I recall -- I have just one
- 9 question. If I recall correctly, the Agency's
- statement of reasons stated that it was the
- 11 Agency's belief that this would have no adverse
- effect on the economy in the state of Illinois
- since basically it's regulatory relief for the
- motor vehicle refinishers if one, EPA has agreed
- to the use of the equipment you described,
- Mr. Mohr, and secondly, we would also be repealing
- superseded rules so there would be no adverse
- economic impact, is that a correct reading?
- MR. MOHR: Are you asking me or are
- you asking the witness?
- 21 HEARING OFFICER CROWLEY: Whoever you
- would like to have answer it.
- MR. MOHR: Do you want to answer
- 24 that?

- MR. ROGERS: It's my belief that
- the repeal of the RVP rules would make it easier
- for businesses to comply to be less recordkeeping,
- 4 there would be less involvement within the
- 5 potential seeking of waivers due to a fuel
- 6 shortage.
- 7 As far as the rule for the motor
- 8 vehicle refinishing, the only thing that we would
- 9 be requiring is if they do make use of the option
- 10 to use a HVLP equivalent spray gun, they must
- 11 maintain documentation that that has been approved
- by USEPA, which is available from the manufacturer
- of spray guns and that's just keeping something on
- file. The dropping of the registration requirement
- would be a little bit less paperwork for them.
- So we believe that it would
- allow business a little bit more flexibility and
- in a very small way, reduce their administrative
- 19 burden.
- HEARING OFFICER CROWLEY: Thank you
- for that explicit statement. That's helpful for
- 22 us.
- MR. ROGERS: You're welcome.
- HEARING OFFICER CROWLEY: Did you have

- 1 anything you would like to add to that?
- MS. FULGENZI: I think I would add
- 3 from the Small Business Environmental Assistance
- 4 Program that we work closely with the industry
- 5 and this is the positive for the industry. As
- 6 Mike eluded, it does reduce some confusion for
- 7 the companies that we deal with because there's
- 8 redundancy in registration -- currently with the
- 9 state registration and the federal requirement
- 10 for those registrations.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER CROWLEY: Thank you.
- 12 That's also helpful to have it stated that way in
- 13 the record. Thank you.
- 14 Are there any additional questions
- or comments? There do not appear to be.
- We have another hearing scheduled
- in this matter in Chicago on September 20th at
- 1:00 p.m. in the Board's offices and I will see
- some or perhaps all of you there. Thank you very
- 20 much.
- MR. MOHR: Thank you for having us
- 22 here today.

23

24

	Page 13
1	(Whereupon, no further
2	proceedings were had
3	in the above-entitled
4	cause.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

L.A. COURT REPORTERS LLC - (312) 419-9292

```
Page 14
     STATE OF ILLINOIS
 2
                              SS.
 3
     COUNTY OF C O O K
 5
                         I, LORI ANN ASAUSKAS, CSR, RPR,
 6
     do hereby state that I am a court reporter doing
     business in the City of Chicago, County of Cook,
 9
     and State of Illinois; that I reported by means
     of machine shorthand the proceedings held in the
10
     foregoing cause, and that the foregoing is a true
11
     and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so
12
13
     taken as aforesaid.
14
15
16
17
                             Lori Ann Asauskas, CSR, RPR.
18
                             Notary Public, Cook County, Illinois
19
20
     SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO
     before me this 2 / day
     of August, A.D., 2012.
21
22
23
             OFFICIAL SEAL
24
          NICHOLAS A PERAZZOLO
         NOTARY PUBLIC - STATE OF ILLINOIS
```

	5:17	hogin 4:14	4:11 5:24		2:7
A		begin 4:14 9:18	1	D	
above-entit	anyone 10:5	1	coding 6:7	D 2:17 3:1	EcIS 9:12,13
13:3	anything	behalf 2:11	comment 8:10	7:2	economic
Act 8:11,23	5:14 12:1	being 4:7	l .	date 9:9	4:15 5:3
add 12:1,2	appear 12:15	6:14	commenting	David 9:3	6:22 8:15
addition 6:4	appearances	belief 10:11	8:5	day 1:16	8:20,21 9:5
additional	5:16	11:1	comments	14:20	9:11,15
12:14	appeared	believe 11:16	8:12 10:5,7	DCEO 2:15	10:18
Adm 1:6 4:11	2:11 8:8	bit 11:15,17	12:15	2:16,16	economy
5:24	applicability	Board 1:2,14	Commerce	8:21,24 9:7	10:12
administra	6:1	2:2 4:8,13	5:3 6:22	10:5	effect 10:12
8:10,14	applicator	5:7,8,9,10	8:20	DCEO's 9:2	effects 4:15
11:18	6:7	5:13 8:4,17	Committee	deal 12:7	8:15
adopted 4:20	approved	8:24 9:10	8:13	Deanna 5:10	either 4:24
8:4	11:11	9:14,23	companies	decision 9:10	eluded 12:6
adverse	April 4:16	Board's 9:8	12:7	9:21	emission 6:11
10:11,17	8:2,4	12:18	completion	decline 9:6	enter 7:14
aforesaid	Asauskas	Box 2:8	8:3	department	entered 7:8
14:13	1:11 14:6	brief 4:18	comply 11:3	5:3 6:22	entering 5:16
afternoon	14:17	Brooke 2:16	conducted	8:20 9:4	entitled 7:2
4:3 5:18	asked 6:23	budgetary	4:7	described	Entrance
Agency 2:7	7:17 9:18	8:15	conducting	10:15	1:15 2:3
2:12 5:2,16	asking 7:24	burden 11:19	9:13	determined	Environme
8:1	10:19,20	Bureau 6:18	confusion	4:23	2:7,12 4:15
Agency's	assigned 4:4	Burke 5:11	12:6	director 9:2	5:2 6:21
4:16 10:9	assist 7:4	business 6:20	Conley 2:15	Discussed	8:23 12:3
10:11	Assistance	11:17 12:3	Control 1:2	3:4	EPA 5:20,22
agreed 10:14	6:21 12:3	14:8	1:13 2:2 4:8	Division 6:17	6:4,19
air 6:11,18	associated	businesses	Cook 1:12	Docket 4:12	10:14
allow 6:5	5:1 6:9,13	11:3	14:8,18	Document	equipment
11:17	attorney 5:19		coordinating	7:9	10:15
allows 9:14	August 1:16	<u>C</u>	5:8	documenta	equivalent
Amendme	9:2,2,7	C 2:1 14:3	correct 10:18	11:11	6:6 11:10
1:5 4:10	automobile	cares 5:17	14:12	Doggett 2:16	Erin 2:15
analogous	4:21	Carrie 5:7	correctly	doing 14:7	exhibit 3:11
6:10	available 9:8	Cathleen 4:3	10:9	doubt 7:24	7:8,10,14
analysis 8:14	11:12	cause 13:4	County 1:12	doubt 7.24 dovetail 9:15	expedite 8:3
and/or 9:12	Avenue 1:14	14:11	14:3,8,18	dovetailed	expired 8:11
Ann 1:11	2:2,7	certain 4:21	couple 7:23	9:16	explanation
14:6,17	A.D 1:16	CFR 6:14	course 8:16	dropping	9:12
Annette 2:16	14:21	Chairman	court 14:7	11:14	explicit 11:21
6:19	a.m 1:17	5:11 8:18	Crowley 1:10	due 6:1,10	
		Chicago	2:5 4:2,3	11:5	F
another	В	12:17 14:8	7:13,18,22	11.3	far 11:7
12:16	B 3:9	City 14:8	10:21 11:20	E	federal 6:1,2
answer 6:23	basically	Clarification	11:24 12:11	E 2:1,1,10	12:9
7:17 10:22	10:13	3:5	CSR 1:11	3:1,9	file 11:14
10:23	before 1:10	closely 12:4	14:6,17	earlier 8:1	filed 5:22 6:5
answering	4:23 9:1,18	Closing 3:6	currently	earner 8.1 easier 11:2	8:1,12
7:5	14:20	Code 1:6	12:8	East 1:14 2:2	first 4:6 8:4,7
anybody			1∠.0	15ast 1.14 2.2	
The second section of the second		Control of the Contro	ato No. Profesional Residence (September 1997)	Description of the second seco	CONTROL AND CO

0.0	 	kent.mohr	Mohl 3:4	0.5	
8:9	hold 9:11 HVLP 6:7	2:9	Mohr 2:10	opinion 8:5	program 6:3
flexibility			1	Opportunity 5.2 (.22	6:9,21 12:4
11:17	11:10	known 8:21	5:18,19	5:3 6:22	Programs 6:18
folks 9:24	I	L	7:15,21	8:20	
foregoing	identificati	left 5:10,11	9:19 10:16	option 11:9	project 6:20
14:11,11	3:10 7:11	less 11:3,4,15	10:19,23	order 6:5 8:5	proposal
from 1:9	IEPA 2:17,17	Let 7:22	12:21	other 9:16,24	4:17,18
11:12 12:3	3:11 7:10	like 7:6,15	more 11:17	o'clock 1:16	5:22 8:2,6 9:21
fuel 11:5	III 1:6 4:11	9:19 10:22	motor 1:5 4:10 6:7,9	P	
Fulgenzi 2:16 3:5 6:19 7:4	5:24	12:1	6:13 10:14	P 2:1,1	proposed 1:5 4:10 8:7
1	Illinois 1:2	limited 4:21	11:7	Page 8:9	
7:16 12:2 further 13:1	1:13,13,15	little 11:15	move 7:7	PAGES 3:2	Protection
lurther 13:1	2:2,3,7,8,11	11:17	much 12:20	paperwork	2:7,12 4:16 5:2 8:23
G	4:8,15 5:1	located 6:14	must 9:5	11:15	
gasoline 1:4	5:19,22 6:4	Lori 1:11	11:10	part 6:14 9:3	provide 4:20 9:1,20
4:9,19 5:23	6:19,20 8:8	14:6,17	11.10	Parts 4:11	provided
6:1,3	10:12 14:1	low 6:6	N	5:24	8:22
giving 9:9	14:9,18		N 2:1 3:1	perform 8:21	
Glosser 5:10	immediate	M	name 4:3	perhaps	public 1:11 8:11 9:9
going 5:4	5:6,9	machine	5:18	12:19	14:18,23
Good 4:2	impact 8:21	14:10	national 6:11	period 8:10	put 7:22
5:18	9:5,11,15	made 9:21	necessary 7:5	persons 4:24	p.m 12:18
Grand 1:14	10:18	maintain	next 6:16	pertinent 9:3	P.O 2:8
2:2,7	industry 12:4	11:11	10:4	Please 7:18	1.02.8
guess 10:3	12:5	make 11:2,9	North 1:14	point 5:15	Q
gun 11:10	introduce	manager	1:14 2:2,3,7	pollutant	question 10:9
guns 11:13	9:22	6:20	notary 1:11	6:12	questions 3:5
	introduction	manufactu	14:18,23	Pollution 1:2	6:23 7:5,17
H	5:21	11:12	notes 14:12	1:13 2:2 4:8	7:24 10:2
H 3:9	involvement	marked 3:9	notice 8:5,7,9	positive 12:5	12:14
having 12:21	11:4	7:9	9:9	potential	
hazardous		matter 1:3		11:5	R
6:11	J	4:9 7:2	О	Preliminary	R 2:1
hearing 1:10	Jackie 2:17	12:17	O 14:3,3	3:4	raise 10:4
2:5 3:3,5,6	Jennifer 5:11	Matters 3:4	officer 1:10	present 2:14	read 9:22
4:2,4,13	Joint 8:13	may 6:23	2:5 3:3,5,6	4:24	reading
7:13,18,22	JR 2:10	7:17 8:8,16	4:2,4 7:13	Presented	10:18
9:11,15,16	July 8:11	means 14:9	7:18,22	3:4	reasons
10:21 11:20	June 8:18	member 5:7	10:21 11:20	presently 4:7	10:10
11:24 12:11	just 5:21 9:22	5:8,9,11	11:24 12:11	pressure 6:6	recall 10:8,9
12:16	10:8 11:13	members	offices 12:18	pre-filed 7:1	receipt 4:14
hearings 4:7	T7	5:13	Okay 5:15	7:3,7	record 7:8,23
9:17	K	mentioned	10:3	Procedure	9:17 12:13
held 14:10	K 14:3	8:1	one 9:16 10:8	8:11	recordkeep
helpful 11:21	KATHLE	merits 4:14	10:14	proceeding	11:3
12:12	1:10 2:5	8:6	only 11:8	4:5	reduce 11:18
her 5:9,11	keeping	Michael 2:17	opening 3:3	proceedings	12:6
high 6:6	11:13	6:16 7:2	5:5	1:9 8:17	redundancy
Holbrook	Kent 2:10	Mike 12:6	operations	13:2 14:10	12:8
5:12 8:19	5:19	Mobile 6:18	6:8,10		refinishers
			I	I	

4:22 10:14	Rogers 2:17	sometime	11:8	11:23	3
1	3:4,5 6:16	9:23	things 7:23	well 10:1	3
refinishing		Source 6:18	things 7.23	weir 10.1 were 13:2	3rd 8:11
1:5 4:10 6:8	7:3,7,16		Thomas 5:12	We've 4:23	35 4:11 5:24
6:10,13	9:19 10:3	spray 11:10 11:13	8:19	i .	36 8:9
11:8	11:1,23		time 7:6 9:4	witness 10:20	4
reformulat	room 9:24	Springfield	l .	witnesses	
6:2	RPR 1:11	1:15 2:3,8	today 6:24	6:15 7:20 work 12:4	43:3
Register 8:8	14:6,17	SS 14:2	7:4 12:22	i .	40 6:14
registration	rule 8:4,8	standards	today's 4:13	written 8:19	45-day 8:9
6:9,12	11:7	1:4 4:9,19	transcript 1:9 14:12	X	5
11:14 12:8	rulemaking	5:23 6:2,11	ı	X 3:1,9	5 3:3,4
12:9	5:8,22 6:5	started 4:24	true 14:11	1 3.1,7	524-8509 2:4
registrations	8:15,17	state 1:12	two 4:6 6:15	Z	324-0307 2.4
12:10	Rulemakin	4:20 5:23	U	Zalewski 5:7	6
regulatory	1:5	10:12 12:9	unable 9:4		6 3:4,4
4:16,21	rules 8:14	14:1,7,9	uncommon	1	6(h) 6:14
10:13	9:12 10:17	stated 10:10	8:16	1 3:11 7:10	60601 2:3
relief 4:21	11:2	12:12	undertake	7:14 9:2	62794-9276
10:13	RVP 11:2	statement	9:4	1:00 1:16	2:8
remarks 3:3	R12-24 1:5	10:10 11:21	use 6:6 10:15	12:18	63 6:14
3:6 5:5	S	study 8:22	11:9,10	10 3:5,5	6913 8:9
repeal 4:19	S 2:1 3:9	9:5,13 10:6	used 6:7	1021 1:14 2:2	0)15 0.9
5:23 6:8	scheduled	submitted	USEPA	2:7	7
11:2	4:7 12:16	8:19 9:12	11:12	12 3:5,6	73:4,5,11
repealing	Seated 5:6,9	Subpart 6:14	usual 5:4	12-24 4:12	782-5544 2:9
10:16	5:10 6:16	SUBSCRI	usuai J. T	13 3:6	
replied 9:3	secondly	14:20	V	16th 8:16	8
reported	10:16	summary	Vaught 9:3	19th 8:4,8	8/23/12 7:12
14:9	section 6:17	9:20	vehicle 1:5	19276 2:8	
reporter 14:7	8:22 9:10	superseded	4:10 6:8,10		
representing	9:14	4:19 10:17	6:13 10:14	2	
5:1	see 12:18	support 9:20	11:8	2 4:16 9:2,7	
request 8:19		sure 9:24	very 11:18	2nd 8:2	
9:1,6 10:6	seeking 11:5	sworn 7:16	12:19	20th 12:17	
requested	September 12:17	7:20 14:20	volatility 1:4	2012 1:16	
8:14,24	services 6:17	T	4:9,19 5:23	4:16 8:18	
required	short 5:21	T 3:9	6:2	9:2 14:21	
8:10 9:9	short 5.21 shortage 11:6	taken 1:10	volume 6:6	21-day 9:9	
requirement	shortage 11.0	14:13	8:9	211 1:6 4:11	
11:14 12:9	14:10,12	technical		215 1:6 4:11	
requireme	Sims 2:17	6:17	W	5:24	
6:12	since 9:8	testimony 3:4	waivers 11:5	217 2:4,9	
requires 9:10	10:13	4:14 7:1,2,3	want 5:14	218 1:6 4:11	
requiring	small 6:20	7:7 9:20,22	10:23	5:24	
11:9	11:18 12:3	Thank 7:13	way 11:18	219 1:6 4:11	
respectfully		11:20 12:11	12:12	219.585 5:24	
9:6	some 4:20		webbc@ip	23rd 1:15	
response 9:1	5:4 12:6,19	12:13,19,21	2:4	27 8:18,22	
9:7 10:6	something	their 11:18	website 9:8	27(b) 9:10,14	
right 5:6,9	11:13	thing 10:4	welcome		
L	CTT T Decision and Alice against wards Company of the C				